Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/630,536	MCCREADY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sarae Bausch, PhD	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Sarae Bausch, PhD</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Susan Hubl</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 August 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 4-10, 13, and 15		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed proposed examiner's amendment to the claims 4-10, 13, and 15.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Sarae Bausch, PhD/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)